UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,328	07/30/2003	David H. Jensen	01526-20659.NP	8755
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1219			RAJ, RAJIV J	
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,328	JENSEN, DAVID H.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RAJIV J. RAJ	4143
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Intonious Surrence	(PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/630,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-36, drawn to a method for processing medical documentation for a health care

provider, classified in class 705, subclass 3.

II. Claims 37-55, drawn to a system for integrating a medical document imaging system with

a medical coding application, classified in class 705, subclass 3.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable.

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as creating, coding and processing medical

documents for a health care provider. Invention II has separate utility such as a system for integrating a

medical document imaging system with a medical coding application. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for

each group is not required for the other groups, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of

their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in

this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search

and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons

apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Application/Control Number: 10/630,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent

subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different

classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35

U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition,

the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out

supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without

traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to

timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are

added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable

upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of

the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,328

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or

Page 4

earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rajiv J. Raj whose telephone number is 571-

270-3930. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James A. Reagan can be reached at

571.272.6710.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov >.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Date: 05/09/08

/Rajiv J Raj/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 3626

/C Luke Gilligan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626